

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 1019W.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,530	05/15/2001	Reto Sieber	F 6817	5031
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Jordan and Hamburg 122 East 42nd Street			AHMAD, NASSER	
New York, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAH CD- 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/762,530	SIEBER ET AL.				
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 9/15/04 and 9/16/04 FAILS TO PLAGE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 September 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>The presentation of new limitation "consideration of the limitation of the li</u>	sting of" has not been considered b	efore.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	e.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>				
10. Other:	•					
		Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that tha top and bottom coat being "planar" is equivalent to the "entire" top and bottom are uniformly coated is not found to be persuasive because, on the contrary, "planar" cannot be read to define "entire" and "uniformly".